

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 JUL 3 AM 10:22

*This space for  
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Ruben B Brooks  
FROM: L. Hammer, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: BY 6/27/2008 Deputy  
CASE NO.: 08cv623 JLS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner  
CASE TITLE: Jones v. Scribner  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Local Rule</b>	<b>Discrepancy</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b><u>OTHER: Ex Parte Communication is Prohibited</u></b>

Date forwarded: 6/30/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.  
☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

Dated: 7/1/2008  
cc: All Parties

CHAMBERS OF: RSB  
By: [Signature]